

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,627	08/31/2001	Yuichi Ohsawa	213384US2RD	9087
22850	7590 05/19/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/a)				
	Application No. 09/942.627	Applicant(s) OHSAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	A. Dexter Tugbang					
Period for Reply	appeare on are cover enect mar					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  5 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 February 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 21-28 are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Tr) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	le Examiner. Note the attached O	mice Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority doc	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appl priority documents have been rec ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Upon further consideration by the examiner due to the applicant(s) amendment filed on 2/7/05, the previous restriction requirement (dated 1/5/05) has been withdrawn in view of the following.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 21-26, drawn to a process of making a magnetic head, classified in class29, subclass 603.07.
  - II. Claims 27 and 28, drawn to a product of a magnetic head, classified in class 360, subclass 125.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions of Groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of Group II can be made by a materially different process, such as forming a surface of the magnetic yoke by coating to expose a projection of the nonmagnetic film, as opposed to flattening of the surface required by Group I.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,627 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

5. <u>If applicant(s) elect the invention of Group I</u>, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species A, directed to Figures 37-44, Claims 21-24 and 26; and Species B, directed to Figures 44-55, Claim 25.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims in the invention of Group I.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Surinder Sachar on May 13, 2005, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570.

  The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,627

Art Unit: 3729

Page 5

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

May 13, 2005